



1756
Docket No.: 220113US0

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

OBLON
SPIVAK
McCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

J. DEREK MASON
(703) 413-3000
DMASON@OBLON.COM

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/092,920
Applicants: Hiroshi YAMASHITA
Filing Date: March 8, 2002
For: TONER COMPOSITION AND METHOD FOR
MANUFACTURING THE TONER COMPOSITION
Group Art Unit: 1756
Examiner: DOTE, JANIS L.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Response to Restriction Requirement

Our check in the amount of **-0-** is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Registration No. 24,618

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

J. Derek Mason, Ph.D.
Registration No. 35,270

DOCKET NO: 220113US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

PRE APPLICATION OF :

HIROSHI YAMASHITA, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: DOTE, JANIS L.

SERIAL NO: 10/092,920 :

FILED: MARCH 8, 2002

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1756

FOR: TONER COMPOSITION AND
METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING THE
TONER COMPOSITION :

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In Response to the Official Action dated December 9, 2003, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-11, for prosecution in the present application.

REMARKS

The Examiner has required restriction between the following:

Group I: Claim 1-11

Group II: Claims 12-28.

Applicants elect with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-11 for prosecution in the present application.

The Examiner has classified Groups II and I as process of making and product made. The Examiner states that the groups are patentably distinct as the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, namely by aggregating fine resin particles and